

PROGRAM OUTCOMES & ASSESSMENT

DIVISION: Nursing and Allied Health

PROGRAM: C.S. Emergency Medical Technician

COURSE IN PROGRAM: EMS 201

DATE: evaluation of spring 2013 program outcomes used for 2013-2014 planning

FACULTY & STAFF INVOLVED IN ASSESSMENT & ANALYSIS: Heather Tucker, Darren Gennuso, Michael Smiley, Jason Sajulon, Michael Hoese, Pamela Peachey, Lisa Wearda

Course-to-program outcome mapping document** is completed: Yes__X__ No_____

Upon completion of the Program, the student will be able to:

OUTCOME	MAPPING Course # that correlates to the outcome	ASSESSMENT METHOD/TOOL (Describe how the tool will be implemented & Attach the tool)	RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT(S) OR TOOL(S)	PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF IMPROVEMENT
1. Demonstrate and apply ethical, competent, and safe patient care within the scope of practice for an EMT	EMS 201	a. Employer/ Facilities used for clinical Surveys Benchmark “always” or “almost always” Ethical and Safe must be a Benchmark of “Always”	a. 100% of the agencies noted that EMT basic students were always or almost always <u>ethical</u> 100% of the agencies noted that EMT basic students were always or almost always <u>competent</u> in skills 100% of the agencies noted that EMT basic students were always or almost always <u>safe</u> when delivering patient care. 100% of the agencies always agreed that <u>EMT basic</u> students worked within the EMT basic Student scope of practice	a. Benchmark not met---no change in course. However will consistently emphasize safety in patient care, HIPPA, and competency in skills. This is a benchmark to always keep at 100% Survey showed that 67% (2/3) agencies responding to the survey stated that students “Almost Always” demonstrated safe patient care. We will contact those individual agencies to determine the reason they answered “Almost Always” instead of “Always” to this critical benchmark. This is the 2 nd survey where an agency (or agencies) has responded this way.
2. Demonstrate the knowledge/skills necessary to become nationally and state certified	EMS 201	a. National Registry Exam Results— <u>benchmark</u> 75% will pass on the first attempt	a. 84% First time pass rate	a. Benchmark met. No change to program. Benchmark met

OUTCOME	MAPPING Course # that correlates to the outcome	ASSESSMENT METHOD/TOOL (Describe how the tool will be implemented & Attach the tool)	RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT(S) OR TOOL(S)	PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF IMPROVEMENT
by passing the National Registry Emergency Medical Technician Exam.		b. National Registry Exam Results— <u>benchmark</u> 90% will pass OVERALL with in the allowable attempts. c. Advisory committee input/minutes (biyearly) d. Employer & Facilities (used for clinical) Surveys Benchmark “always” or “almost always”	b. 38/63 course completers from spring of 2013 have tested and passed. The 7 who did not pass on the 1 st attempt are eligible to retest and have 2 years to do so. There are 18 students who have not tested and will remain eligible to do so. c. 100% of the employer surveys state (“always or almost always”) that our students demonstrate knowledge necessary to become nationally certified.	b. We will continue to monitor those who need to retest and those who have yet to test to see if we meet the goal of 90% overall pass rate. No change at this time. c. Benchmark met. No program change.

DISCUSSION OF ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE & RESULTS & PLANS: Program SLO Presentation/Faculty Dialogue took place at the EMT faculty meeting in August 2013. 5 EMT faculty/director attended the 15 minute presentation.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGE: Dialogue took place on program needs based on SLO results. The following was suggested: (1) evaluate the content of the newly developed employer/clinical survey to see if it measures the SLO accurately; (2) identify methods to promote return of surveys; (3) consider changing the assessment and evaluation cycle – decrease frequency.