



College Council Meeting Minutes

November 28, 2017 | 2:00 -3:30 p.m. | 3134/N3213

Committee Description

College Council is a central committee that acts as an advisory group to the Superintendent/President for Board policies, administrative procedures and district goals, plans and priorities. It is a communication vehicle for recommendations and proposals that come through the participatory governance system and serves as a clearinghouse for college-wide information. College Council is responsible for approving new college-wide committees and revisions to existing college-wide committees, acknowledging and reviewing initiatives and end-of-the-year assessments from specified content committees, conducting and analyzing the faculty hiring prioritization process, providing new program development recommendations to Planning and Budget and the Academic Senate, providing new restrictive fund faculty recommendations to Planning and Budget and reviewing proposals and making recommendations for program revitalization, suspension, and/or discontinuance.

Committee members:

X	Gil Stork, Superintendent/President, , <i>non-voting</i>
X	Deb Wulff, Vice President for Academic Affairs, Co-Chair of College Council
X	Marie Larsen, Division Chair, Co-Chair of College Council
X	Mark Sanchez, Vice President for Student Services and College Centers
	Dan Troy, Vice President for Administrative Services, <i>non-voting</i>
X	Maria Escobedo, Dean for North County Campus and South County Center
X	Jason Curtis, Dean of Math and Science, Academic Affairs
X	Madeline Medeiros, Interim Dean of Humanities Academic Affairs
X	John Cascamo, Dean of WED Academic Affairs
	TBD, ASCC President
X	Debra Stakes, Physical Sciences, CCFT
X	Stacy Millich, Academic Senate President

	Hunter Perry, Cuesta College Classified United Employees Representative
X	Michele McAustin, Business Education Division Chair
X	Anthony Gutierrez, Coordinator, Student Life and Leadership, service faculty
X	Catherine Riedstra, Dean of Student Services
	TBD, North County Faculty Representative
X	Steve Leone, English Division Chair
X	Shannon Hill, Management Senate Representative
X	Jodi Meyer, North County Cluster Coordinator Mathematics
	Ryan Carnal, Dean of Institutional Research and Instructional Technology
X	Guest: Kristin Pimentel

Committee Initiatives 2016-2017:

ACCJC Standard(s)	Completed	In Progress	
		X	1. Monitor the progress of the 2020 Comprehensive Self- Evaluation Report
		X	2. College Council will collaborate with the Academic Senate to review and/or update the faculty prioritization process
		X	3. Monitor the progress of the recommendations made by the committee reorganization taskforce
		X	4. Improve communication to the college community regarding college council

AGENDA ITEMS	NOTES
<p>Meeting Timekeeper: A. Gutierrez Computer: H. Perry J. Curtis Approve Minutes: November 14, 2017</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Larsen: Proposal move item 8 to item 1 • Group: Approve • G. Stork: motion to approve minutes • M. Mc Austin: Second • Group: Approved Minutes
<p>1. Modification to BP 3570 Smoking and Tobacco Use of Campus</p> <p>Redline BP 3570 Smoking and Tobacco Use of Campus Clean BP 3570 Smoking and Tobacco Use of Campus Tobacco Free Campus Report on Level of Support and Stated Concerns</p> <p>In order to provide Cuesta College’s faculty, staff, students, guests and the public with campuses supporting the principle of one’s freedom to learn, teach, work, think and take part in their intellectual endeavors in a fulfilling, rewarding, safe and healthy environment, we ask that College Council join with over 1800 colleges and universities nationwide, including the UC system as of January, 2014 and the CSU system as of April, 2017, in the creation and implementation of a “smoke and tobacco free” policy for Cuesta College.</p> <p>The proposed modification to BP 3570 is a result of the cooperative effort of 15 Truth Initiative grant taskforce members representing faculty, administration, staff, Human Resources, campus police, and students from ASCC.</p> <p>Additionally, level of support was analyzed and feedback collected from the following campus stakeholders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Academic Senate • Faculty Union • Classified Union 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • T. Downing: The Truth initiative requires that we work towards becoming a tobacco free campus. The tobacco free initiative failed at the state level. We formed a task group and looked at our overall support. After discussing the tobacco free campus with many constituents, we have seen wide support of this program. All of the committee as saying they would like a “soft touch” implementation of this program. • M. Larsen: What about employees that were hired before we became a tobacco free campus? What is the plan for them? • T. Downing: They would have to treat campus like any other area where you cannot smoke • D. Stakes: The union vote showed that most people thought the smoking areas should be relocated and reduced in number, but not eliminated. • C. Moore: 71% of the union vote says they support a smoke free campus. • D. Stakes: The second question on the survey was how do you feel about the smoke free campus. This shows that people still want to have smoking areas but they should be relocated. • Guest: It makes sense to put people in less traffic areas, but we are not helping people to quit • C. Moore: 31 votes were for making campus tobacco free • D. Stakes: There is a difference between location and coercion • T. Downing: A student that I interview who recently quit smoking stated that she would be relieved if we became smoke free • M. Medeiros: Is there still a plan to implement cessation before we become smoke free?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASCC • Management Senate • Joshua E. Morrison (legal counsel.) <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the revised BP 3570 Smoking and Tobacco Use of Campus and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C. Moore: The AP would be developed with a committee. In the fall we would do a full blown cessation health program. The board program would not be implement until Jan. • C. Riedstra: Can employees participate in these cessation programs during work time? • C. Moore: I believe this would fall under health and wellness time • G. Stork: One change, second paragraph, change the district to the governing board • M. Sanchez: Motion to approve with recommended change • A. Gutierrez: Second • M. Larsen: orange card, I am concerned for our employees. I think we are missing the group of people who work here –they have no option. • G. Stork: I appreciate your comment; we do not necessarily grandfather people in because they were hired by a certain date. Any change to a board policy is going to affect someone. I believe we can help people change their behavior. I would recommend we do not change this until January 1, 2019. • D. Wulff: orange card: I worry about the consequences for the employees and, I am concerned about lower income students. I do not want them to incur fees, which could stop their educational path. I’m hoping that when you write the AP it will not include fees • C. Moore: Thank you, your comment lead us to researching into helping these populations. <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED with Revision: College Council endorses the revised BP 3570 Smoking and Tobacco Use of Campus and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>
<p>2. Update from Outreach Committee</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C. Riedstra: The Outreach Committee is supporting the creation of an outreach plan. Presented PowerPoint. Goals include: grow enrollment, establish cohesive orientations, implement customer relationships management, increase special outreach activities, develop targeted communications, create mobile information access points. We will continue to work on these areas. • D. Wulff: What are we doing to target high school students?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C. Riedstra: We are offering a counselor for a “re-entry” program. We are looking at reaching out to different community partners and groups. • D. Wulff: Are you recruiting for other programs? • C. Riedstra: Yes, we have an ESL outreach coordinator, Dual Enrollment, Outreach Committee, etc. • M. Sanchez: One area for opportunity: Americas Job Center. I am working on making a pre-populated form to make things easier for students to enroll and get the information they need. • G. Stork: I am taking a recommendation to the Board for a new director of Outreach, they will begin in January 2018.
<p>3. Adopt AP 4500 Student News Media</p> <p>Redline AP 4500 Clean AP 4500</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses adopting AP 4500 Student News Media and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Medeiros: Cuesta does not currently have this procedure, it is advised, but not required. • S. Millich: I am curious about the corollary language. I feel that we should include that info. • D. Stakes: The only corollary should be to align with the student code of conduct. “shall conform to student code of conduct” • S. Leone: Were faculty consulted for this league draft? I think we should create a taskforce to review this AP. This should also go to Academic Senate. • M. Medeiros: no, faculty were not consulted. This will go to Academic Senate next for input. • M. Larsen: The proposal should be tabled and come back after going to Senate. • S. Leone: Motion to table until faculty have a chance to provide feedback • D. Stakes: Second • Group: Approve • PROPOSAL Tabled: College Council endorses adopting AP 4500 Student News Media and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for approval.
<p>4. Adopt BP 4220 Standards of Scholarship</p> <p>Redline BP 4220 Clean BP 4220 Standards of Scholarship</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D. Wulff: Motion to approve • S. Hill: Second • APPROVE with the revision Superintendent/ President on the redline version

<p>This policy is legally required. The recommended language has been adopted</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses adopting BP 4220 Standards of Scholarship and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p>	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED with Revision: College Council endorses adopting BP 4220 Standards of Scholarship and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p>
<p>5. Revised AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities</p> <p><u>Redline AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities</u> <u>Clean AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities</u></p> <p>Revision of AP 5055 – Enrollment Priorities, needed to address some language clarifications for a better understanding of who is eligible for enrollment priority. Dual Enrollment language and Legislative updates</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the revised AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities and moves the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • K. Pimentel: Revisions include new language recommended from Title V. • M. Larsen: I have some language clean up's – I'll get together with K. Pimentel to make changes • D. Stakes: What priority do students have that make good grades and succeed? • K. Pimentel: They are priority 5. We have 9 priority levels • C. Riedstra: How do the orientations work? I think we should remove 3.1 orientation and combine it with orientation 7 • J. Cascamo: There have been many different changes to language; are you in communication with S. Robertson about that? • K. Pimentel: Yes, we have been in communication • S. Millich: Are these priority designations required, or is it our discretion? • M. Sanchez: Some of these are required by the state -- some we added. • S. Millich: If we can move things around to target students who are not signing up, perhaps we should look at who needs priority to succeed and complete a degree. • D. Wulff: We define how long students have within these priorities. We could move continuing students up in the priority process. Perhaps we need to begin looking at the timeline. • S. Leone: 9 priority steps seems excessive, have we compared our priorities to other districts to see where everyone else lies? • K. Pimentel: I would like to consolidate this confusing process, but we are trying to get 3SP funding and SEP -- we are giving incentives through priority registration. • A. Gutierrez: I want to make sure that students see this AP • M. Larsen: Has this gone through Enrollment Management? • K. Pimentel: No, it has not gone there. • D. Stakes: I think this needs a hard look to see what will work for student success.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S. Leone: I recommend we look at the 14 comparable colleges to see where we are in comparison. • C. Riedstra: Priority one groups cannot share. Our staff needs time with those priority one groups to give them the services they need. • D. Wulff: Kristin, please pull a task group together to review this, before you begin doing the circuit. • S. Leone: Motion to table • S. Millich: Second • Group: Tabled • PROPOSAL Tabled: College Council endorses the revised AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities and moves the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.
<p>6. Revised BP 5010 Admissions & Concurrent Enrollment and AP 5010 Admissions</p> <p>Redline BP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment Clean BP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment Redline AP 5010 Admissions Clean AP 5010 Admissions</p> <p>CCLC model of BP/AP 5010 had new required language that needed to be addressed in our current board policies. Therefore, these policies have been updated and revised. Proposed BP and AP are attached.</p> <p>Dual Enrollment language has been added, as the Chancellor’s Office released a legal opinion due to confusion of AB 288 (CCAP). CCCCCO addressed, For the first time in California’s Education Code, the term “dual enrollment” is identified to define “special part-time” or “special full-time” students, that is, high school or other eligible special admit students enrolling in a community college credit courses. The term “concurrent enrollment” is not found in Ed Code.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • K. Pimentel: Due to the confusion of dual enrollment I tried to make this clearer. I am trying to keep these CCAP and Enrichment (dual enrollment) separate. 6-12 means enrichment , 9-12 means high school. • D. Stakes: Under what circumstances do we enroll 6th grade? • K. Pimentel: 6-8th need to be highly gifted. They must show evidence • G. Stork: The principal will also verify if the student is mature enough to handle a mature environment • M. Larsen: I will discuss language changes with Kristin • S, Millich: Motion to approve with changes • S. Hill: Second • Group: Approve BP • K. Pimentel: There is a lot of strikeout in this AP, much of the information that was taken out is covered in other AP’s • S. Hill: Motion to approve • M. Sanchez: Second • Group: Approved AP

<p>Cuesta has used special part-time student as “Enrichment” and with the AB 288 program, it’s called “CCAP”.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the revised BP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the revised AP 5010 Admissions and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for approval.</p>	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the revised BP 5010 Admissions and Concurrent Enrollment and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the revised AP 5010 Admissions and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/ President for approval.</p>
<p>7. AP 4222 Remedial Coursework</p> <p>AP 4222 This AP is legally required</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses adopting AP 4222 Remedial Coursework and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • K. Pimentel: We are adopting the CCLC language • M. Larsen: not every pre-collegiate course is basic skills. We could say pre-collegiate or basic skills, not “pre-collegiate basic skills” one or the other • S. Hill: Motion to approve with revisions • D. Wulff: Second • Group: Approved AP <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses adopting AP 4222 Remedial Coursework and moves to the Academic Senate for endorsement and the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>
<p>8. Adopt AP and BP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development</p> <p>AP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development Redline BP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development Clean BP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development</p> <p>Recent changes to Title 5 regulations require updates to existing Board Policy 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development. Both Board Policy 4020 and the corresponding Administrative Policy are legally required policies, however, Cuesta does not have an existing Administrative Policy. A Curriculum taskforce was convened to</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Kahane: AP/BP 4020: these are required policies, Cuesta does not have these in place, and the BP needs to be revised. We have included a new reference from Title V and CCLC language. • D. Wulff: Motion to approve BP • D. Stakes: Second • A. Kahane: The AP is new; it discusses how we handle curriculum. The second half of this AP discusses how we calculate units. We could not use the CCLC language because it did not include a template. • M. Larsen: Question on the 3rd page, item 6, what does “curriculum approved by curriculum committee and approved by academic affairs” mean?

<p>propose edits to Board Policy 4020, and draft a Program, Curriculum, and Course Development Administrative Policy. These drafts are aligned with the current recommended language, and have been approved by both the Curriculum Committee, and the Academic Senate.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses revised BP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development and moves to the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses adopting AP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development and moves to the Superintendent/ President for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Kahane: This describes the process for how curriculum is approved. We can change it to read “next” or “then” approved by the VP to clarify. Revision: “then forwarded to the VP of AA for approval” • M. McAustin: Motion to approve with recommended changes • D. Wulff: Second • Group Approves <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses revised BP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development and moves to the Superintendent/ President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses adopting AP 4020 Program, Curriculum, and Course Development and moves to the Superintendent/ President for approval.</p>
<p>9. Retire Board Policy 7131.1, Workweek and Workday</p> <p>BP 7131.1 Workweek and Workday</p> <p>Board Policy 7131.1 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7131.1, Workweek and Workday and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Richerson: This information is not legally required and its include in the CCUE. • D. Wulff: Motion to approve • M. Larsen: Second • Group approve <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7131.1, Workweek and Workday and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees approval.</p>
<p>10. Retire Board Policy 7130.7 Differential Pay</p> <p>BP 7130.7 Differential Pay</p> <p>Board Policy 7130.7 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Richerson: This BP is not legally required, already include in contract • D. Wulff: Motion to approve • D. Stakes: Second • Group approve

<p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.7 Differential Pay and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees for approval.</p>	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.7 Differential Pay and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees for approval.</p>
<p>11. Retire Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees</p> <p>BP 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees AP 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees</p> <p>Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7130.5 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees to move to the Superintendent/ President for Board of Trustees approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of Administrative Procedure 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees and move to the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S. Millich: This BP says for classified, but the language says district. • M. Richerson: there is no legal requirement to have an AP on fringe benefits -- we go directly to the contract • D. Stakes: the faculty contract takes president • M. Richerson: The managers refer to their direct contract • M. McAustin: Motion to approve • J. Curtis: Second • Groups Approve <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees to move to the Superintendent/ President for Board of Trustees approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of Administrative Procedure 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees and move to the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>
<p>12. Retire Board Policy 7130.1 Classified Salary Schedule</p> <p>BP 7130.1 Classified Salary Schedule</p> <p>Board Policy 7130.1 is not legally required and may be retired. Salary placement on the classified salary schedule is a negotiated item and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.1, Classified Salary Schedule and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S. Hill: Motion to approve • D. Wulff: Second • Group: Approve

	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of Board Policy 7130.1, Classified Salary Schedule and moves to the Superintendent/President for Board of Trustees approval.</p>
<p>13. Retire Board Policy 7137 Tutoring for Fee</p> <p>BP 7137 Tutoring for Fee</p> <p>Board Policy 7137, Tutoring for Fee is not legally required and may be retired. This request has been endorsed by the Deans and the Assistant Superintendent/Vice President of Academic Affairs.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7137 Tutoring for Fee and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Richerson: this policy is irrelevant and not required • S. Leone: During the study-a-thon faculty are paid to tutor students. • M. Richerson: this is for personal tutoring, not through any structured agreement • J. Curtis: What is prohibiting a faculty member from doing this? Will that e allowed once we retire this? • M. Richerson: My assumption is that because this is not legally required a faculty member could do this. • D. Stakes: I believe there is an AP about not running your own company on campus. • D. Wulff: We should make sure that this info is somewhere • J. Curtis: Motion to approve • M. Medeiros: Second • Group: Approve • <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7137 Tutoring for Fee and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>
<p>14. Retire Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff</p> <p>BP 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff AP 7151 Evaluations – Classified Staff</p> <p>Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7151 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Richerson: This is not required • M. Medeiros: motion to approve • S. Hill: Second • Group: Approve <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>

<p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of AP 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff and moves to the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of AP 7151 Evaluations-Classified Staff and moves to the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>
<p>15. Retire Board Policy/Administrative Procedure 7120.1, Faculty Employment</p> <p>BP 7120.1 Faculty Employment</p> <p>Background: Board Policy 7120.1 is not legally required and may be retired. Academic Senate endorsed this request at the meeting on October 13th. In addition, CCFT has given their approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7120.1 Faculty Employment and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Richerson: this has been endorsed by AS and CCUE. • S. Hill: Motion • D. Stakes: Second • Group: Approve <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7120.1 Faculty Employment and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>
<p>16. Retire Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7131.2, Overtime</p> <p>BP 7131.2 Overtime AP 7131.2 Overtime</p> <p>Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7131.2 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7131.2 Overtime and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D. Wulff: Motion to approve • M. McAustin: Second • Group: Approved <p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7131.2 Overtime and moves to the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p>

<p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of AP 7131.2 Overtime and moves the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<p>PROPOSAL APPROVED: College Council endorses the retirement of AP 7131.2 Overtime and moves the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>			
<p>17. Retire Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7130.5, Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees</p> <p>BP 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees AP 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees</p> <p>Board Policy and Administrative Procedure 7130.5 is not legally required and may be retired. These items are negotiable and the terms are included in the collective bargaining agreement. CCCUE has endorsed this request.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of BP 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees and moves the Superintendent/President for BOT approval.</p> <p>PROPOSAL: College Council endorses the retirement of AP 7130.5 Fringe Benefits for Classified Employees and moves to the Superintendent/President for approval.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove from agenda – duplicate of number 11 			
<p>Next Meeting will be on December 12, 2017 in room 3134/NC 3213</p>				
<p>Standing Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Educational Master Plan Ad Hoc Committee Board Policy Taskforce Update Planning and Budget Committee Academic Senate Council Content Committees: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accreditation Steering Committee Outreach Committee Equity Action Committee Distance Education 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> G. Stork: Planning and Budget approved hiring full time faculty at position control plus one S. Millich: Academic Senate: The last meeting of the year will be December 8, D. Wulff: Accreditation Steering: We have published reminders about what the standards are, we will begin preparing in Spring A. Gutierrez: no update M. McAustin: Distance Education: We are getting students to complete faculty evaluations. We are considering piloting different ways to test survey J. Curtis: Institutional Effectiveness: We looked at the accreditation standards and tried to balance IEPI (which should include “floor goals” and “stretch goals”) 			

<p>Institutional Effectiveness Student Support and Success</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• M. Medeiros: Student Support and Success: The group looked at who is piloting early alert. We discussed the SARS tool and issues that have come up. We will begin creating a best practices, and review what some faculty are doing with early alert. Several faculty attended a conference on co-requisite models. Those faculty reported back about what is happening at other colleges• S. Millich: motion to adjourn• D. Stakes: Second.• Group: Approve
--	---